Interview Summary	Application No. 08/937,883	Applicant(s) Gruper	
	Examiner John Follansbee	Group Art Unit 2154	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _John Follansbee_   (3) _____

(2) _Sanford Colb_   (4) _____

Date of Interview _____ _Oct 17, 2001_ _____

Type: a)☐ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
        c)☒ Personal [copy is given to   1)☐ applicant   2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No. If yes, brief description:

_____

_____

Claim(s) discussed: _1-18_ _____

Identification of prior art discussed:
Secure4U _____

Agreement with respect to the claims   f)☐ was reached.   g)☒ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

    Attorney proposed an addition of proposed claimed language to all independent claims, as attached. This claim language refers to claim language not already claimed. The proposed amendment would appear to distinguish over the prior art of record.

    Applicant requested that the examiner kindly substantiate the date of publication of the Secure4U reference. The examiner has proposed doing this by obtaining a full copyright registration as referred to in his earlier action. This will be done by the examiner in response to Applicant's response.

_____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

    i)☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

JOHN A. FOLLANSBEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

certain files, wherein the enforcement device treats attempts of
the program to access files to which the user permitted access
during said learning period more leniently than attempts of the
program to access files to which the user did not permit access
during said learning period.

xx1.   Apparatus for ensuring the integrity of computer appli-
cations to be run in association with a computer having data
storage arranged sectorwise in a storage device, comprising:
          apparatus for assigning a general enforcement file to
each new program;
          apparatus for learning about the program by monitoring
the program's attempts to make file accesses during a learning
period; and
          an enforcement device operative, after said learning
period is over, to treat attempts of the program to access files
accessed during said learning period more leniently than attempts
of the program to access files not accessed during said learning
period.

xx2.   Apparatus according to claim xx1 wherein said enforce-
ment device is operative to always allow access to files accessed
during said learning period.

xx3. Apparatus according to claim xx1 wherein said enforcement
device is operative to prompt a user to give specific permission,
upon occurence of an attempt of the program to access files not
accessed during said learning period.

xx4.   Apparatus for ensuring the integrity of computer appli-
cations to be run in association with a computer having data
storage arranged sectorwise in a storage device, comprising:
          apparatus for assigning a general enforcement file to
each new program;
          apparatus for learning about the program by monitoring
instances of user permission given to the program's attempts to
make file accesses during a learning period; and
          an enforcement device operative, after said learning
period is over, to treat attempts of the program to access files
to which the user permitted access during said learning period
more leniently than attempts of the program to access files to
which the user did not permit access during said learning period.

xx5. Apparatus according to claim xx1 wherein said enforcement
device is based at least partly on instances of specific
permission being given by the user to the program to access

1